

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets




(11) Publication number:

0 643 537 A2

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION(21) Application number: **94202546.1**(51) Int. Cl.⁶: **H04N 7/24**(22) Date of filing: **06.09.94**(30) Priority: **10.09.93 BE 9300951**(43) Date of publication of application:
15.03.95 Bulletin 95/11(84) Designated Contracting States:
DE FR GB IT SE(71) Applicant: **PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.**
Groenewoudseweg 1
NL-5621 BA Eindhoven (NL)(72) Inventor: **Keesman, Gerrit Johan, c/o Int.**
Octrooibureau B.V.
Prof. Holstlaan 6
NL-5656 AA Eindhoven (NL)(74) Representative: **Schmitz, Herman Jan Renier**
et al
INTERNATIONAAL OCTROOIBUREAU B.V.,
Prof. Holstlaan 6
NL-5656 AA Eindhoven (NL)(54) **Device for transmitting a plurality of television signals through a transmission channel.**

(57) A device for simultaneously transmitting a plurality of television signals comprises an adjusting circuit (5) for adjusting the bitrate for each television signal in dependence upon the complexity of this signal with respect to the joint complexity of the plurality of television signals. The device provides improvement of quality in Digital Video Broadcast systems. It has also been found to be possible to transmit extra signals through a given channel while maintaining the picture quality. Use of the device in optical recording provides the possibility of a longer playing time.

**FIG.1****EP 0 643 537 A2**

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to a device for transmitting a plurality of television signals through a transmission channel. The invention also relates to a device for storing a television signal and a device for playback of said signal.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

For future Digital Video Broadcast (DVB) systems it is being considered to transmit a plurality of television signals simultaneously through one digital transmission channel. A device used for this purpose comprises an encoder for each television signal for encoding this signal in a bit stream, control means for controlling the bitrate of each bit stream and means for combining the bit streams to a channel bit stream.

Inter alia, the MPEG2 standard which is being developed is suitable for encoding each television signal. An encoder used for this purpose is described in ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29 /WG11/N0400 "Test Model 5", April 1993. The known MPEG2 encoder forms a bit stream for the television signal, whose bitrate is controlled in conformity with a target value. If there is a risk that the target value is not achieved, for example when complex picture scenes occur, the picture is quantized in a coarser way in order that fewer bits per picture are generated. In practice the picture quality of the encoded television signal will consequently vary from scene to scene and may even be noticeably poor.

When transmitting a plurality of television signals through one digital transmission channel, the available channel bitrate is divided into a plurality of bitrates, one of which is allocated to each television signal. The allocated bitrates have been fixed in advance. For each of the transmitted television signals it now holds that the picture quality of complicated picture scenes may be subject to degradation.

OBJECT AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the invention to provide a device for transmitting a plurality of television signals through a transmission channel with which a higher picture quality is achieved.

To this end the device according to the invention is characterized in that the control means are adapted to control the bitrate of each signal in dependence upon the complexity of said signal with respect to the joint complexity of the plurality of television signals.

The invention is based on the recognition that upon transmission of a plurality of television signals through one transmission channel not all television signals will be simultaneously complicated. For example, if two television signals A and B are transmitted and if, at a given moment, signal A comprises a scene which is not so complex and signal B comprises a very complex scene, signal B will be temporarily transmitted at a higher bitrate at the expense of the bitrate of signal A. This process of dynamic allocation of bitrates will hereinafter be referred to as "joint bitrate control".

In known stand-alone encoders, for example MPEG encoders, each encoder has its own control means for achieving a predetermined target value of the bitrate. An embodiment of a device on this basis comprises a common adjusting circuit for applying a bitrate target value to each of the control means in dependence upon the complexity of the corresponding television signal. The complexity can be measured by a preanalysis circuit, for example in the form of an additional encoder. The complexity may also be computed from the number of bits and an average step size with which a previous picture of said television signal has been encoded. This possibility has the advantage that such a computation is already possible in MPEG encoders.

A further embodiment of the device is characterized in that the control means are adapted to form a joint control value for each encoder in dependence upon the joint complexity of the plurality of television signals. In this embodiment the bitrate of each bit stream is directly representative of the complexity of the signal. Therefore, the complexity need not be measured separately.

It has been found that joint bitrate control reduces the risk of occurrence of a noticeably poor picture quality in each of the signals. The picture quality of the transmitted television signals thus roughly increases. However, joint bitrate control also provides the possibility of transmitting more television signals through the same transmission channel while maintaining the picture quality. It has been found that five television signals can be transmitted through a 20 Mbit/s channel at the same picture quality as four television signals having a constant bitrate of 5 Mbit/s.

Further attractive possibilities of using joint bitrate control are obtained by dividing a television program into a plurality of parts of equal length and by simultaneously storing these parts as one channel bit stream on an optical disc by means of joint bitrate control. The television signal is reproduced by repeatedly

reading the stored channel bit stream and by each time decoding a different part. Either a longer playing time or a higher picture quality is then obtained.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

Fig. 1 shows a possible embodiment of a device for transmitting a plurality of television signals according to the invention.

Fig. 2 shows a further embodiment of the device.

Fig. 3 shows an alternative embodiment of an adjusting circuit shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 4 shows another embodiment of the device.

Fig. 5 shows a device for storing a television signal according to the invention.

Fig. 6 shows a device for playback of a television signal according to the invention.

DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS

Fig. 1 shows a device for transmitting a plurality of television signals according to the invention. A device for transmitting two television signals TV₁ and TV₂ is taken as an embodiment. Television signal TV₁ is applied to an encoder 1. This encoder comprises a Discrete Cosine Transformer 10 which transforms blocks of pixels into series of coefficients. The coefficients obtained are quantized at a given step size in a quantizer 11 and the quantized coefficients are subsequently encoded in a variable length encoding circuit 12. The code words obtained are applied to a buffer 13. The produced number of bits, which fluctuates from picture to picture, is applied to a bitrate control circuit 14 which, in response thereto, controls the step size of quantizer 11 in such a way that the average number of applied bits per picture is equal to a target value T₁. Such a bitrate control circuit is known *per se*. In a corresponding manner, television signal TV₂ is processed in an encoder 2. This encoder also comprises a transformer 20, a quantizer 21, a variable length encoder 22, a buffer 23 and a bitrate control circuit 24. A target value T₂ is applied to the control circuit 24. The two bit streams are combined in a multiplexer 3 to one channel bit stream having a channel bitrate which corresponds to T = T₁ + T₂ bits/picture. The channel bit stream is applied to a transmission channel 4.

In accordance with the invention, the device is provided with a joint bitrate adjusting circuit 5. This circuit determines a complexity value for both television signals (X₁ for the first television signal and X₂ for the second television signal) which is representative of the complexity of the current picture scenes, and distributes the available channel bitrate T among the two signals in proportion with their complexity.

In a possible embodiment of the device the complexity values X₁ and X₂ are obtained by subjecting each applied television signal to a preanalysis. To this end the adjusting circuit 5 comprises a preanalysis circuit 51 and 52 for the two respective television signals. Such a preanalysis circuit comprises, for example a picture transformer, a quantizer having a fixed step size and a variable length encoder, as well as a counter for counting the number of bits thus obtained for each picture. In this embodiment said number of bits constitutes the complexity value X. The two complexity values X₁ and X₂ are added together in a summing device 53. Subsequently, the relative complexity of each television signal is determined in dividers 54 and 55. To this end the dividers compute:

$$\frac{X_1}{X_1 + X_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{X_2}{X_1 + X_2}$$

respectively.

Finally, the target values T₁ and T₂ are computed by means of multipliers 56 and 57 by multiplying the available channel bitrate T (expressed in bits/picture) by the relative complexity of the television signals. It now holds for the target values that:

$$T_1 = \frac{X_1}{X_1 + X_2} \times T \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = \frac{X_2}{X_1 + X_2} \times T$$

If there are N television signals, it holds for the target value T_n (n = 1 ... N) which is applied to the nth encoder:

$$T_n = \frac{X_n}{\sum_{n=1}^N X_n} \times T$$

5

The device allocates a bitrate to each television signal in proportion with its complexity. A picture quality is then obtained which is substantially equal for all signals. However, it is alternatively possible to allocate a distinct picture quality to the television signals. This is achieved by influencing the relative complexity of the signals in a predetermined manner. In that case the dividers 54 and 55 are implemented, for example for computing:

$$\frac{\alpha_1 X_1}{\alpha_1 X_1 + \alpha_2 X_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha_2 X_2}{\alpha_1 X_1 + \alpha_2 X_2}$$

15

respectively.

in which α_1 and α_2 are constants. For signals of equal complexity ($X_1 = X_2$) an unequal bitrate is now obtained.

20

Fig. 2 shows a further embodiment of the device. In this embodiment the encoders 1 and 2 are assumed to be MPEG encoders. As may be known (see for example ISO-IEC DIS 11172 "Coding of moving pictures and associated audio for digital storage media up to about 1.5 Mbit/s"), the television signal in the MPEG standard is transmitted in the form of Groups Of Pictures (GOPs). Each GOP comprises an intraframe coded picture (I picture), a number of predictively coded pictures (P pictures) and a number of bidirectionally predictive coded pictures (B pictures). For the sake of simplicity the customary prediction loop for forming a motion-compensated prediction picture in encoders 1 and 2 has not been shown in Fig. 2. In accordance with the invention the adjusting circuit 5 now comprises:

25

(A) computing means 58 and 59 for computing the complexity X_n of each of the N television signals to be transmitted. As an alternative to the preanalysis circuit shown in Fig. 1, the complexity value is now determined with reference to the number of bits S which is spent on coding the previous picture and a quantization parameter Q which is representative of the average step size with which this picture is quantized. Both values S and Q are applied to the adjusting circuit by each encoder. Chapter 10 of the afore-mentioned ISO document "MPEG2 Test Model 5" describes that the product of S and Q is a representative measure of the complexity of the television signal. By separately determining this product for each type of picture (I, P and B), three complexity values X_I , X_P and X_B are obtained for each television signal TV_n ($n = 1, 2$):

35

$$X_{In} = S_{In} \times Q_{In}, \quad X_{Pn} = S_{Pn} \times Q_{Pn} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{Bn} = S_{Bn} \times Q_{Bn}$$

40

Alternative formulas are possible. It is notably sensible to emphasize the influence of the quantization value on the complexity value as in, for example:

45

$$X_* = S_* \times Q_*^\alpha \quad (\alpha > 1) \quad \text{or in} \quad X_* = S_* \times e^{Q_*}$$

in which the index * refers to the type of picture (I, P, B).

(B) a summing device 53 and dividers 54, 55 for determining, for each type of picture, the relative complexity of each signal in accordance with the equations:

50

$$\frac{X_{In}}{\sum_{n=1}^N X_{In}}, \quad \frac{X_{Pn}}{\sum_{n=1}^N X_{Pn}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{X_{Bn}}{\sum_{n=1}^N X_{Bn}}$$

55

(C) computing means 50 for computing, for each type of picture, the total number of bits T for the subsequent image of the joint signals. Analogously to a stand-alone MPEG2 decoder (see chapter 10 of MPEG2 Test Model 5) the following computations can be performed:

$$T_I = \frac{R}{1 + \frac{n_P \cdot X_P}{X_I \cdot K_P} + \frac{n_B \cdot X_B}{X_I \cdot K_B}}, \quad T_P = \frac{R}{n_P + \frac{n_B \cdot K_P \cdot X_B}{K_B \cdot X_P}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$T_B = \frac{R}{n_B + \frac{n_P \cdot K_B \cdot X_P}{K_P \cdot X_B}} \quad (1)$$

in which n_P and n_B represent the number of P and B pictures still to be encoded in the GOP and K_P and K_B are specific system constants.

In formula (1), X_I , X_P and X_B represent the joint complexity of the television signals, as if their pictures jointly form a superpicture. This joint complexity value can be constituted by:

$$X_I = \sum_{n=1}^N S_{In} \times Q_{In}, \quad X_P = \sum_{n=1}^N S_{Pn} \times Q_{Pn} \quad \text{and} \quad X_B = \sum_{n=1}^N S_{Bn} \times Q_{Bn}$$

or by:

$$X_I = \sum_{n=1}^N S_{In} \times \sum_{n=1}^N Q_{In}, \quad X_P = \sum_{n=1}^N S_{Pn} \times \sum_{n=1}^N Q_{Pn} \quad \text{and}$$

$$X_B = \sum_{n=1}^N S_{Bn} \times \sum_{n=1}^N Q_{Bn}$$

To this end, the computing means 50 receive from each encoder its spent number of bits S and the average step size Q.

In formula (1), R is the remaining number of bits which is allocated to the joint GOPs (superGOP). The initial value of R is the number of available bits/superGOP. For a stand-alone encoder this is denoted by G. In the device according to the invention, the initial value is $N \times G$. R is reduced after encoding of each superpicture by the number of spent bits (S_I , S_P , S_B , respectively).

(D) multipliers 56 and 57 for distributing the target value T for the superpicture among the N encoders in proportion with the relative complexity of the corresponding television signal. In a formula this is expressed by:

$$T_{In} = \frac{X_{In}}{\sum_{n=1}^N X_{In}} \times T_I, \quad T_{Pn} = \frac{X_{Pn}}{\sum_{n=1}^N X_{Pn}} \times T_P \quad \text{and} \quad T_{Bn} = \frac{X_{Bn}}{\sum_{n=1}^N X_{Bn}} \times T_B$$

In the manner thus described, encoder 1 receives a target value T_{11} , T_{P1} and T_{B1} for each type of picture (I, P or B). In a further autonomous manner, the control circuit 14 of the encoder will attempt to meet this target. In a corresponding manner, decoder 2 receives a target value T_{12} , T_{P2} and T_{B2} . Thus it holds for each encoder that the applied target value is dependent on the relative complexity of the corresponding television signal with respect to the other television signals.

Fig. 3 shows an alternative embodiment of adjusting circuit 5 for use in the device shown in Fig. 2. Identical reference numerals represent identical functions in this case. The adjusting circuit differs from the one shown in Fig. 2 in that the computing means (cf. 50 in Fig. 2) are now split up into two identical computing means 501 and 502. They compute, for each television signal, the number of bits for the next picture as if the full channel bitrate were available for the signal. The afore-mentioned formula (1) applies to the computation, in which X_I , X_P and X_B now represent the complexity value of the corresponding (instead of the joint) television signal. The number of bits thus computed is denoted by T'_1 and T'_2 in Fig. 3. It is this number which is multiplied (56, 57) by the relative complexity of the corresponding signal. The relative complexity is obtained in the same manner (summing device 53, dividers 54 and 55) as in Fig. 2.

Fig. 4 shows another embodiment of the device according to the invention. This embodiment is distinguished from the previously described embodiments in that the quantizers 11 and 21 of a joint control circuit 60 receive an average step size which is equal for both encoders (if desired, the step size may vary from macroblock to macroblock within a picture, dependent on the activity).

The control circuit receives a target value T which corresponds to the channel bitrate and controls the average quantization step size as a function of the number of bits which is jointly produced by the encoders. This number is supplied by an adder 61. The control circuit has the same structure as the known control circuit of a stand-alone encoder (cf. 14 and 24 in Figs. 1 and 2) and does not need any further explanation. Since the step size for each encoder is equal in this embodiment (i.e. $Q_1 = Q_2 = Q$), the complexity of each signal (i.e. $X_n = S_n \cdot Q$) is directly represented by the number of spent bits S_n . A separate measurement of the complexity is therefore superfluous. As is apparent from

$$S_n = \frac{X_n}{Q} = \frac{X_n}{X_1 + X_2} \times \frac{X_1 + X_2}{Q} = \frac{X_n}{X_1 + X_2} \times (S_1 + S_2)$$

the bitrate for each signal is also proportional to its complexity in this embodiment. The picture quality is equal for both signals because both encoders quantize at the same step size. If a distinct picture quality is desired for each television signal, the step size generated by the control circuit 60 may be split up into two different step sizes which have a predetermined fixed ratio with respect to each other.

For all previously described embodiments of the device it holds that the current bitrates of the N television signals can be transmitted to a receiving decoder in the form of appropriate parameters for each picture or for each GOP. The MPEG standard already provides this possibility.

By using joint bitrate control, the picture quality of each television signal increases. This is a significant advantage for broadcast systems. Joint bitrate control also provides the possibility of transmitting extra signals. For example, instead of two stand-alone 5 Mbit/s television encoders, two centrally controlled encoders having a joint bitrate of 9 Mbit/s can be coupled to a 10 Mbit/s channel while maintaining the picture quality. Via the remaining 1 Mbit/s, for example an extra data signal can be transmitted. Theoretical considerations and practical experiments have further proved that a larger number of television programs can be transmitted while maintaining the picture quality, for example 5 programs instead of 4, or 27 programs instead of 20.

In addition to broadcasting, joint bitrate control also provides interesting possibilities of application for recording. Fig. 5 shows a device for storing a television program on a storage medium. The device comprises means 100 for splitting the television program into two parts A and B of equal length. These means may be constituted by, for example two video recorders which play back the first and the second half, respectively, of the program. The two parts A and B are applied to a device 101. In the manner described hereinbefore, device 101 forms one channel bit stream $A+B$ of the two parts, which channel bit stream is stored on an optical disc 102. Fig. 6 shows the corresponding device for playback of the optical disc. The channel bit stream $A+B$ read from disc 102 is applied to a selector 103 which first selects bit stream A and applies it to an MPEG2 decoder 104. The decoded signal is displayed on picture monitor 105. Under the control of a control circuit 106 the same channel bit stream is subsequently read again after finishing of part A. Now, however, bit stream B is selected and decoded. During reset of the read head (approximately 0.8 sec in practice) the last picture of part A may be displayed as a still picture. Should this

be troublesome, a buffer may be used for bridging this period. This application of joint bitrate control in recording requires a disc rotating at a (substantially) double speed and a more complex disc control. This is, however, offset by a better picture quality or a longer playing time.

5 Claims

1. A device for transmitting a plurality of television signals through a transmission channel having a predetermined channel bitrate, comprising:
 - encoders (1, 2) for encoding each television signal in a bit stream;
 - control means (14, 24, 5) for controlling the bitrate of each bit stream;
 - means (3) for combining the bit stream to a channel bit stream,
 characterized in that the control means are adapted to control the bitrate of each signal in dependence upon the complexity of said signal with respect to the joint complexity of the plurality of television signals.
2. A device as claimed in Claim 1, in which each encoder (1, 2) is provided with corresponding control means (14, 24), characterized in that the device comprises a common adjusting circuit (5) for applying a bitrate target value (T_1 , T_2) to each of the control means in dependence upon the complexity (X_1 , X_2) of the corresponding television signal.
3. A device as claimed in Claim 2, characterized in that the adjusting circuit (5) is provided with a preanalysis circuit (51, 52) for determining the complexity of each television signal.
4. A device as claimed in Claim 2, characterized in that the adjusting circuit (5) is provided with means (58, 59) for determining the complexity of a television signal from the number of bits (S) and an average step size (Q) with which a previous picture of said television signal is encoded.
5. A device as claimed in Claim 2, 3 or 4, characterized in that the adjusting circuit (5) comprises multipliers (56, 57) for multiplying the number of available bits (T) for the plurality of signals with the relative complexity ($X_n/\Sigma X_n$) of the corresponding signal with respect to the total complexity.
6. A device as claimed in Claim 1, characterized in that the control means (60, 61) are adapted to form a joint control value for each encoder in dependence upon the joint complexity of the plurality of television signals.
7. A device as claimed in any one of the preceding Claims, characterized in that the encoders are MPEG encoders.
8. A device for storing a television signal, characterized in that the device comprises
 - means (100) for splitting the signal into a plurality of parts (A, B) of equal length, and
 - means (101) for simultaneously storing the parts on a storage medium (102) which means are constituted by a device as claimed in any one of the preceding Claims.
9. A storage medium for storing a television signal in the form of a channel bit stream, characterized in that the stored channel bit stream comprises a plurality of simultaneously occurring signals, the bitrate of each signal being dependent on the complexity of said signal with respect to the joint complexity of the plurality of signals.
10. A device for playback of a television signal from a storage medium, characterized in that the device comprises:
 - means (106) for repeated reading of a stored channel bit stream which comprises a plurality of simultaneously occurring signals, the bitrate of each signal being dependent on the complexity of said signal with respect to the joint complexity of the plurality of signals;
 - means (103, 104) for selecting and decoding a predetermined one of the simultaneously occurring signals from the read channel bit stream.




FIG. 1




FIG. 3




FIG. 2




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets




(11) Publication number:

0 643 537 A3

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION(21) Application number: **94202546.1**(51) Int. Cl.⁶: **H04N 7/24**(22) Date of filing: **06.09.94**(30) Priority: **10.09.93 BE 9300951**(43) Date of publication of application:
15.03.95 Bulletin 95/11(84) Designated Contracting States:
DE FR GB IT SE(88) Date of deferred publication of the search report:
24.05.95 Bulletin 95/21(71) Applicant: **PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.**
Groenewoudseweg 1
NL-5621 BA Eindhoven (NL)(72) Inventor: **Keesman, Gerrit Johan, c/o Int.**
Octrooibureau B.V.
Prof. Holstlaan 6
NL-5656 AA Eindhoven (NL)(74) Representative: **Schmitz, Herman Jan Renier**
et al
INTERNATIONAAL OCTROOIBUREAU B.V.,
Prof. Holstlaan 6
NL-5656 AA Eindhoven (NL)(54) **Device for transmitting a plurality of television signals through a transmission channel.**

(57) A device for simultaneously transmitting a plurality of television signals comprises an adjusting circuit (5) for adjusting the bitrate for each television signal in dependence upon the complexity of this signal with respect to the joint complexity of the plurality of television signals. The device provides improvement of quality in Digital Video Broadcast systems. It has also been found to be possible to transmit extra signals through a given channel while maintaining the picture quality. Use of the device in optical recording provides the possibility of a longer playing time.

**FIG.1****EP 0 643 537 A3**



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 94 20 2546

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.6)
X	NTC'77 CONFERENCE RECORD, vol.3, 1977, NEW YORK (US) pages 41 4 1 - 41 4 6 H. KANEKO E. A. 'Digital Transmission of Broadcast Television with Reduced Bit Rate.' * page 41 4 1, column 1, line 1 - page 41 4 3, column 2, line 38; figures 1-5 *	1	H04N7/24
Y	---	7-9	
A	---	2,4	
Y	IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CONSUMER ELECTRONICS, vol.38, no.4, November 1992, NEW YORK US pages 778 - 783, XP324635 R. SAINT GIRON E. A. 'The Digital Simulcast AD-HDTV Coding System.' * page 778, column 1, line 19 - page 781, column 2, line 24; figure 3 *	7	
Y	EP-A-0 545 651 (MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO.) * page 3, line 56 - page 6, line 25; figures 1-5 *	8,9	
A	---	10	
A	EP-A-0 550 843 (GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION) * column 5, line 46 - column 9, line 49; figures 1-6 *	1,2,4,6, 7	
A	EP-A-0 515 101 (AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY) * page 3, line 3 - page 14, line 7; figures 1,2,6,7 *	1,2,4,6, 8,9	
A	DE-A-24 31 037 (SEITZER) * page 6, line 18 - page 10, line 4; figure 1 *	1,2,4	

	--- -/--		
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 20 March 1995	Examiner Verleye, J
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	

EPO FORM 1503 (03.82) (P04C01)



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 94 20 2546

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.6)
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 7, no. 264 (E-212) (1409) 24 November 1983 & JP-A-58 145 284 (FUJITSU K. K.) 30 August 1983 * abstract *	1	
A	--- SIGNAL PROCESSING OF HDTV II, 30 August 1989, TURIN(IT) pages 587 - 594 M. BABERO ET AL 'A Flexible Architecture for a HDTV Codec Based on DCT' * page 590, line 32 - page 592, line 34; figure 2 *	8	
A	--- EP-A-0 396 368 (AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY) * column 1, line 3 - line 6 * * column 3, line 56 - column 4, line 43 * * column 13, line 8 - line 18; figures 1,2,7 * -----	8-10	
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.6)
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 20 March 1995	Examiner Verleye, J
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons * : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			

EPO FORM 1501 (01.82) (P04C01)



European Patent
Office

CLAIMS INCURRING FEES

The present European patent application comprised at the time of filing more than ten claims.

- ☐ All claims fees have been paid within the prescribed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for all claims.
- ☐ Only part of the claims fees have been paid within the prescribed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for the first ten claims and for those claims for which claims fees have been paid, namely claims:
- ☐ No claims fees have been paid within the prescribed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for the first ten claims.

LACK OF UNITY OF INVENTION

The Search Division considers that the present European patent application does not comply with the requirement of unity of invention and relates to several inventions or groups of inventions, namely:

See sheet -B-

- ☒ All further search fees have been paid within the fixed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for all claims.
- ☐ Only part of the further search fees have been paid within the fixed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for those parts of the European patent application which relate to the inventions in respects of which search fees have been paid, namely claims:
- ☐ None of the further search fees has been paid within the fixed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for those parts of the European patent application which relate to the invention first mentioned in the claims, namely claims:



LACK OF UNITY OF INVENTION

The Search Division considers that the present European patent application does not comply with the requirement of unity of invention and relates to several inventions or groups of inventions, namely:

1. Claims 1-5,7 :

Device for transmitting a plurality of television signals through a single transmission channel having a predetermined channel bitrate, comprising a plurality of encoders for encoding each television signal into a bit stream. Each encoder comprises a control means which is adapted to separately control the bitrate of the television signal output from each encoder. The device comprises an common adjusting circuit for applying a separate bitrate target to each control means in dependence upon the complexity of the corresponding television signal.

2. Claim 6 :

Device for transmitting a plurality of television signals through a single transmission channel having a predetermined channel bitrate, comprising a plurality of encoders for encoding each television signal into a bit stream. Each encoder comprises a control means which is adapted to control the bitrate of the television signal output from each encoder. The device comprises an common adjusting circuit for applying a common bitrate to each control means in dependence upon the complexity of the television signals.

3. Claim 8 :

Device for storing a television signal, comprising means for splitting the signal into a plurality of parts and simultaneously storing the parts on a storage medium after having separately encoded each part by a plurality of encoders, the bitrate of the output signals of which are controlled in dependence upon the complexity of the parts of the television signal.

4. Claims 9,10 :

Storage medium for storing a television signal in the form of a channel bit stream, and device for playing back of the television signal from the storage medium. The channel bit stream comprises a plurality of simultaneously occurring signals, the bitrate of each signal being dependent on the complexity of said signal with respect to the joint complexity of the plurality of signals.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)